



Biosurfactant from endophytic *Bacillus cereus*: Optimization, Characterization and Cytotoxicity study

Swetha Sunkar*, C Valli Nachiyar, Sidheswari Sethia, Bineeta Ghosh, P Prakash and K Renuga Devi

Department of Biotechnology, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.
Email: swethauk78@gmail.com

Received 16 February 2018; Received in revised form 16 October 2018; Accepted 31 October 2018

ABSTRACT

Aim: The increased importance of biosurfactant in the recent past is mainly due to their applications in various industries ranging from petroleum to pharmaceuticals. Their biodegradability and environmental compatibility with low toxicity makes it even more interesting. Microbial production of biosurfactant is found to be a viable option as they are diverse, eco-friendly, facilitate large scale production, ability to perform under extreme conditions etc. One class of microbes that is endophytes are known to show great potential in producing different varieties of medically and industrially significant biological compounds. The present study focuses on the screening and production of biosurfactant from endophytic bacteria.

Methodology and results: Of all the isolates tested, one endophyte identified as *Bacillus cereus* HM998898 was found to produce maximum biosurfactant. Statistical method Plackett burman was used to optimize the media for the maximum production and the ideal composition was found to be KNO₃ (1g/l), Gingley oil (2ml), K₂HPO₄ (2.5g/l), KH₂PO₄ (0.75g/l), MgSO₄.5H₂O (0.5g/l), FeSO₄.7H₂O (0.005g/l) and NaCl (0.025g/l). The extracted biosurfactant was characterized and was identified to be glycolipid. This was further tested for biocompatibility against Fibroblast cell (3T3) cells and was evaluated for their anti tumor activity against Hep2 cells.

Conclusion, significance and impact of study: The biosurfactant produced was found to induce toxicity to cancer cells at appreciable levels while they remained non-toxic to normal cells supporting the possible applications of biosurfactant in medical field.

Keywords: Endophytic bacteria, *Bacillus cereus*, biosurfactant, Plackett-Burman design, cytotoxicity

INTRODUCTION

The search for novel compounds for their use in medicine and industry is an evergreen task for the research community. The main features expected in these compounds are their compatibility with a living system, less toxicity, biodegradability, ease of production and manipulation. Biosurfactants gained interest in the recent past owing to its potential applications in medicine and environment.

Biosurfactants are the surface-active amphiphilic molecules obtained from a variety of microbes. They contain hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties that reduce surface tension and interfacial tension between individual molecules at the surface and interface respectively. Chemically they are glycolipids, glycoproteins and lipopeptides that are expected to display varied properties and physiological functions (Banat et al 2010; Singh and Cameotra 2004).

They are known to play a key role in remediation due to their efficacy as dispersion agents and display less

toxicity and high biodegradability (Silva et al 2014). They are also found to be effective at extreme temperatures and pH (Singh et al 2007). They also possess certain additional advantages over synthetic surfactants by being diverse in their structure and biodegradable thereby making them an effective option for various commercial applications in the petroleum, biomedical, pharmaceutical and food processing industries (Cooper and Zajic 1980; Desai and Banat 1997).

Of late, researchers stated that biosurfactants are important for the growth and survival of microbes. For instance, *Bacillus subtilis* requires biosurfactant for the formation of fruiting body. Likewise, biofilm formation in *P. aeruginosa* needs biosurfactant. These studies suggest the role of biosurfactant in the survival of microbes.

Therefore, exploring different microorganisms to produce biosurfactants is a continuous process. Especially large-scale production using new microorganisms, novel sources as raw materials is investigated to minimize the cost of production and

*Corresponding author

increase the efficacy (Jorge et al 2013). Endophytes are one such collection of microbes which are known to produce several bioactive compounds with potential medical and industrial applications. To the best of our knowledge, very few reports are available on the production of biosurfactants using endophytes. Hence, the present investigation is carried out to use endophytic bacteria to produce biosurfactants and optimize the media for enhancing the production using the Plackett Burman statistical design. The compatibility of the biosurfactant is also checked by performing toxicity studies on cell lines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Screening of endophytic bacteria to produce biosurfactant

The endophytic bacteria that were isolated and studied by us earlier (Sunkar and Nachiyar 2012) were screened for the production of biosurfactants by growing these bacteria (1×10^8 CFU/ml) in Mineral salt media composed of KH_2PO_4 (1.4 g/l), K_2HPO_4 (2.7g/l), $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.6 g/l), $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.01g/l), NaCl (0.05g/l), CaCl_2 (0.02g/l), nitrogen source (1g/l), carbon source (2%) for 5 days. The culture was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant is collected and screened to produce biosurfactants using the following tests.

Emulsification test

The bacteria were grown in Mineral salt medium for 5 days at 37°C. The reaction mixture contained 2 ml of oil (cooked oil) and 2 ml of culture supernatant obtained after centrifugation and vortexed for 1 min. The mixture was incubated for 24 h after which the emulsification index is calculated by measuring the height of the emulsified layer (Cooper and Goldenberg 1987). The formula used for calculating emulsification index is given below:

$$E_{24} = \frac{h_{\text{emulsion}}}{h_{\text{total}}} \times 100 \%$$

Oil spreading Assay

Oil spreading assay was conducted according to the procedure given by Morikawa et al (2000). 10 µl of oil was added to the surface of 40 ml of distilled water in a petri dish to form a thin oil layer. Then, 10 µl of culture supernatant were gently placed on the centre of the oil layer. The presence of biosurfactant would displace the oil and a clear zone would form. The diameter of the clearing zone on the oil surface would be visualized under visible light and measured after 30 seconds, which correlates to the surfactant activity, also known as oil displacement activity.

Drop collapse method

Drop collapse test was performed to screen the biosurfactant production (Jain et al 1991). The bacterial strains were inoculated in mineral salt medium with 0.1%

cooked oil and incubated for 48 hr. 2µl of oil was applied to the well regions delimited on the covers of 96-well microplates and these were left to equilibrate for 24 hr. The 48 h culture was centrifuged at 12,000g for 15mins at 25 °C to remove the cells. 5 µL of the supernatant was transferred to the oil-coated well regions and drop size was observed after 1 min with the help of a magnifying glass. The result was considered to be positive when the diameter of the drop was increased by 1mm from that which was produced by distilled water which was taken as the negative control.

Blood haemolysis test

The fresh bacterial culture was streaked on blood agar plates and incubated for 48 to 72 hr at 37°C. The plates were then observed and the presence of clear zone around the colonies indicates the presence of biosurfactant producing organisms (Mulligan et al 1989).

Optimizing the carbon and nitrogen source

Biosurfactant production is significantly influenced by nutrients provided to the organism. Hence in the present investigation, various carbon sources (2ml) namely cooked oil, Refined oil, Gingley oil, Amla oil, Coconut oil, Olive oil and nitrogen sources namely KNO_3 , Urea, NH_4Cl , NH_4NO_3 were used for evaluation of the most appropriate carbon and nitrogen sources for the production of biosurfactants.

Growth kinetics and Biosurfactant production

Growth kinetics and corresponding production of the biosurfactant was followed for a period of 10 days. The endophytic *Bacillus cereus* was inoculated in mineral salt media and a day wise analysis of growth and biosurfactant production was estimated based on emulsification index.

Media optimization for the production of biosurfactant using Plackett Burman design

The effectiveness of an industrial fermentation is decisively dependant on the medium composition as this critically has an effect on the yield, concentration of the product and volumetric productivity. Conventional methods of optimising the media involve the changing of one constituent at a time and identifying the best media component. This method is laborious and time consuming when there are many factors to be tested. Plackett Burman design is a statistical method that is used to evaluate the most significant media components for biosurfactant production. An 8-Run Plackett Burman design was applied to reflect the relative importance of various fermentation factors involved in the production of biosurfactant. For each variable a high (+) and low (-) levels were tested. The variables used for the design are provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Variables affecting biosurfactant production and their high and low levels.

Variables	Component	High(g/l)	Low(g/l)
A	KNO ₃	1.0	0.5
B	Oil	2.0	1.0
C	K ₂ HPO ₄	2.5	1.25
D	KH ₂ PO ₄	1.5	0.75
E	MgSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	0.5	0.25
F	FeSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	0.1	0.005
G	NaCl	0.05	0.025

Extraction and partial purification of Biosurfactant

The produced biosurfactant was extracted by acid precipitation method. The cell free supernatant was subjected to an acid precipitation as described by Mawgoud et al (2008). Briefly, the pH of the supernatant was adjusted to 2.0 with 4 M HCl and left overnight at 4 °C. Afterwards, the precipitate was collected by centrifugation (10,000×g, 10 min, 4 °C.) and washed twice with acidified water (pH 2.0). The precipitated crude biosurfactant is dissolved in 40 ml of demineralized water and the pH was adjusted to 7.0 using 1 M NaOH. This is followed by dialysis against demineralized water at 4°C dialysis membrane (cut-off 6000-8000 Da) for 48 h for purification. Finally, the purified biosurfactant was freeze dried and stored at -20 °C for further use.

Characterization of Biosurfactant

The nature of biosurfactant was initially identified by the standard tests commonly employed namely Phenol-Sulphuric Acid Test, Biuret Test, CTAB (cetyl trimethylammonium bromide) /Methylene Blue agar plate test and Rhamnose test and was further characterized by instrumental analyses.

Fourier Transform Infra-red (FTIR) analysis

The major functional groups of the exopolysaccharide were identified using FTIR spectrophotometer. 0.5 mg of dried sample was ground with 150 mg of KBr crystals and was made into a pellet using a hydraulic press. The pellets were subjected to FTIR analysis using PerkinElmer spectroscope.

HPLC analysis

Free sugars were determined by a High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). A stainless-steel column of 0.05 m long and 4.6 mm in internal diameter followed along with a stain-less steel column 0.15 m long and 4.6 mm in internal diameter, both packed with amino propyl silyl silica gel for chromatography (3 µm) maintained at 38°C was used. Mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min was prepared by dissolving 0.253 g of sodium dihydrogen phosphate in 220 ml of water and 780 ml of acetonitrile.

Refractometer maintained at a constant temperature is used as detector (Agilent Bio HPLC Column Selection Guide). Identification of sugars was done by comparing the relative retention times of sample peaks with that of standards. 1.00 mg of the test Sample was mixed with 2 ml of water and 2.5 ml of acetonitrile with gentle heating. 0.5 ml of water was added to the sample to form the test solution. Reference solution was prepared with glucose and fructose in 20 ml of water and 25 ml of acetonitrile with gentle heating and 5 ml of water.

GC-MS analysis

Twenty milligrams of the EPS were hydrolysed with 2 ml of 2 M Tri Fluoroacetic Acid (TFA) at 100 °C for 2 h. After hydrolysis, methanol was added into the dry sample and evaporated. The hydrolysate was then subjected to GC-MS analysis (GCMS-QP2010Ultra, Shimadzu) for the determination of the monosaccharide composition (Vidyalakshmi et al 2016).

Cytotoxicity using MTT assay

The cytotoxic effect of the biosurfactant was assessed against the 3T3 Fibroblast cell lines and Hep2 cell by MTT assay (Sunkar et al 2017). Varying concentrations of the biosurfactants were used (1.0, 0.5, 0.25, 0.13, 0.06, 0.03 and 0.02 mg/ml) and the % cytotoxicity was calculated using the formula:

$$\% \text{ Cytotoxicity} = \frac{\text{Abs}_{\text{Control}} - \text{Abs}_{\text{Test}}}{\text{Abs}_{\text{Control}}} \times 100$$

Anti-microbial activity

Antimicrobial activity was carried out using agar well diffusion assay (Sunkar and Nachiyar 2012). The test organisms used were *Bacillus sp*, *Pseudomonas sp*, *Staphylococcus sp*, *Enterobacter sp*, *Serratia sp* and *E. Coli*. The activity was noted in terms of the zones of inhibition obtained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prime reasons for the spreading interest in biosurfactants are their environmental-friendly nature, biodegradability (Mohan et al 2006) and low toxicity (Flasz et al 1998). Their unique structures provide new properties that classical surfactants may lack. The sources of biosurfactants are diverse from terrestrial to marine organisms (Das et al 2010) and the quest for novel organisms to produce biosurfactants remains an incessant process. In this line, the present study tries to investigate the ability of endophytic bacteria to produce biosurfactant.

Table 2: Screening results of seven strains for the production of biosurfactant.

Endophytic bacterial isolates	Emulsification Index (%)	Oil Spreading	Drop Collapse	Haemolysis
CBGP	52.38	++	-	+
CBGL	25.00	+	-	+
ADA	60.15	+++	+	+
GX1	11.29	+	-	-
GX2	15.6	+	-	-
GX3	-	-	-	-
GX4	17.9	+	-	-
GX5	20.7	+	-	-
SYZ 4	18.6	+	-	-
P4B	54.54	++	+	+
P3B1	53.12	++	-	+
P1A	50.00	++	-	+
P2A	21.5	+	-	-
P2B	15.9	+	-	-
WT	22.5	+	-	-
ARA	24.6	+	-	-

(For Emulsification index "+" = 10-30 % EI; "++" = 30-55 % EI ; "+++ "= > 55% EI; For the other tests "-" indicates negative result, "+" indicates positive result)

Screening of endophytic bacteria to produce biosurfactant

Endophytic bacteria that were isolated earlier (Sunkar and Nachiyar 2012) were used in the current study where they were subjected to standard screening tests to produce biosurfactant namely oil spreading test, drop collapse test and emulsification index and the results are provided in the following table (Table 2).

The table clearly indicates that certain bacteria have the ability of emulsifying oil. From the results obtained, it is very much evident that the endophytic bacterial isolates ADA and P4B1 were producing noticeable amounts of biosurfactant and the isolate ADA which is showing the maximum emulsification index (60.15 %) is selected for further studies. The isolate ADA was identified as *Bacillus cereus* HM998898.1 and described elsewhere (Sunkar and Nachiyar 2012). Several bacteria displayed promise in producing biosurfactants. Strains like *Bacillus subtilis* ICA56 (Franc et al 2015) with bioremediation potential, *Bacillus methylotrophicus* USTBa (Chandankere et al 2013) isolated from petroleum reservoir which was later used for the degradation of crude oil, *Bacillus subtilis* group (PTCC 1696) which was isolated from an Iranian oil field, have been investigated which displayed potential stability under different temperatures and pH (Ghojavand et al 2008).

Optimizing the Carbon and nitrogen source

The production of biosurfactant was found to be dependent on the composition of the medium. It is well

known that the growth of cells and amassing of metabolic products were powerfully influenced by the composition of the medium which constitutes carbon sources, nitrogen sources, salinity and other factors which favors the high yield of metabolites (Muthusamy et al 2008). The endophytic bacterium *Bacillus cereus* was cultured in MS media with varying carbon sources and nitrogen sources and the results are provided in table 3.

Among the carbon sources, it was found that significant production was noticed when gingley oil was used (EI 75 %) followed by cooked oil (EI 63.63 %). Likewise, all nitrogen sources used were supporting the production on biosurfactant but the most favorable was found to be KNO₃ (EI 70 %) followed by urea (EI 50 %). Hence for further studies gingley oil and KNO₃ were used as carbon and nitrogen sources.

Though certain reports suggested that olive oil (Abouseoud et al 2007), glucose (Aparna et al. 2012) were considered to be the ideal carbon source, the results in the present study showed gingley oil to be the best carbon source for the biosurfactant production. Abouseoud et al (2007) reported that olive oil was found to be best suitable for the production of biosurfactant using *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Certain strains of bacteria like *Virgibacillus salaries* showed significant production of biosurfactant using fried oil thereby making it a cost-effective option (Ahmed et al 2015). Coconut oil was also found to be a suitable carbon source for the production of biosurfactant with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* F23 (Patil et al 2014). These reports indicate the diverse ability of the microorganisms to utilize different

kinds of carbon sources for the production of biosurfactant.

Table 3: Optimization of Carbon and Nitrogen Source

Emulsification index	Result	EI %	
Carbon source	Cooked refined oil	+++	63.63
	Uncooked refined oil	-	Nil
	Olive oil	-	Nil
	Gingley oil	+++	75.00
	Coconut oil	++	50.00
	Amla oil	-	Nil
	Urea	++	50
Nitrogen source	KNO ₃	+++	70
	NH ₄ Cl	++	45
	NH ₄ NO ₃	++	35

The present study reports that KNO₃ was ideal for the maximum production of biosurfactant which goes well in accordance with the reports of Saikia et al (2012) and Patil et al (2014) which stated that potassium nitrate was found suitable to produce biosurfactant. Another strain *Bacillus subtilis* MTCC 2423 was found to produce maximum amount of biosurfactant with potassium nitrate compared to other nitrogen sources (Makkar and Cameotra 1998). The result is further supported by a study conducted by Lan et al (2015) who reported that nitrate nitrogen was more effective than amino nitrogen for biosurfactant production.

Growth kinetics and biosurfactant production

Experiments have been conducted to estimate the production of biosurfactant in relation with the growth of the organism periodically using emulsification index as parameter (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Growth kinetics and biosurfactant production.

The figure 1 clearly illustrates that time positively influenced the production of the biosurfactant. There was an increase in the OD values and a simultaneous increase in the biosurfactant indicating the relation between the growth and biosurfactant production. The production of biosurfactant was estimated day wise and it was observed that there is an increase in the biosurfactant production with time. The production measured in terms of EI showed a sudden increase in the first two days after which the increase was found to be gradual and steady. This trend was followed for a period of 8 days (EI 52%) after which the values were found to be stable without much variation. This can be directly correlated to the growth of the bacteria as there was an increase in the OD values till 7 days followed by a downtrend indicating the log phase of the bacterial growth. Correspondence of these two parameters clearly indicates that the production of biosurfactant was consistent with the growth of the bacteria. Once the log phase started (day 7), the production of biosurfactant seemed to remain the same without much variation.

The production of biosurfactant was observed chiefly during the exponential phase of growth which implies that this could be a product of primary metabolism complementing with the biomass development suggesting growth associated kinetics (Abouseoud et al 2007; Persson et al 1988). Similar trend was observed by the studies conducted by Ruwaida et al (1991) for *Rhodococcus*, strain ST-5 and Tabatabaee et al (2005) where in the production was in correlation with the growth of the bacteria. This feature also proposes that biosurfactant can be well produced under chemostat conditions or by immobilized cells (Klein and Wagner 1987). This kind of production was also supported by studies carried out by Abbasi et al (2012) who reported that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was producing maximum rhamnolipid after 10 days after which there was a decrease in the production.

Design of media using Plackett Burman model

Microbial growth rate depends on chemical and physical parameters such as medium components, pH, aeration and agitation rate. The microbial cells producing primary and metabolites are influenced by medium components that include carbon, nitrogen and other inorganic salts (Cutchins et al 1952). Hence searching for the ideal constituents and their optimization for enhanced production involves several factors and is considered to be a tedious task.

The initial experiments to determine the ideal carbon and nitrogen source revealed that gingley oil and KNO₃ were best suited for the production of biosurfactants. In spite of identifying the carbon and nitrogen source, the ideal composition of the nutrients required for the maximum production of biosurfactant. This can be determined by using one variable at a time approach. Since this is time consuming, Plackett Burman statistical design is preferred to determine the optimal concentrations of the components for the enhanced

production. It facilitates the examination of upto N-1 variables with N experiments on the assumption that there exist no interactions between the components. The study employed 7 variables in 8 trials to evaluate the influence of the media components on the biosurfactant production. Table 4 provides the results obtained after the experiment in terms of EI and the weight of the biosurfactant for each trial. Trial 1 gave the maximum production of biosurfactant which constituted KNO₃ (1g/l), Gingley oil (2ml), K₂HPO₄ (2.5g/l), KH₂PO₄ (0.75g/l), MgSO₄.5H₂O (0.5g/l), FeSO₄.7H₂O (0.005g/l) and NaCl (0.025g/l).

The effect of the components is based on the difference between the average of H and L responses for each variable (Eq 1). The effect of an independent variable on the response is the difference between the average response for the four experiments at H and the average value for four experiments at L and given in Equation 2.

$$\Sigma A(H) - \Sigma A(L) \quad \text{Eq 1}$$

$$A = \Sigma AH/4 - \Sigma AL/4 \quad \text{Eq 2}$$

$$2(\Sigma A(H) - \Sigma A(L))/8 \quad \text{Eq 3}$$

The experimental error can be calculated by averaging the mean squares of the dummy effects of E and G. The final stage is to identify the factors which are showing large effects. In the above experiment, this was done using an F-test which is calculated by using the formula:

$$\text{Factor mean square} / \text{error mean square}$$

The regression coefficient, F value and P value of the factors were calculated for biosurfactant production using statistical design. The response was analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) a statistical parameter that provides the significant of the model employed. Table 5 gives the details of the trails with varying concentrations along with the response in terms of biomass produced.

On the basis of statistical tests results obtained (Table 5), A (KNO₃), B (Oil) and C (K₂HPO₄) was found to be the most significant components influencing the production of biosurfactant. The importance of the variables influencing the production of the biosurfactant and the results of Plackett- burman are viewed in a better way by Pareto chart (Figure 2).

Table 4: Plackett Burman experimental design and the corresponding response

Trials	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	Response	
								EI (%)	Weight of the biosurfactant (g/L)
1	H	H	H	L	H	L	L	60.00	11.32
2	L	H	H	H	L	H	L	52.00	0.15
3	L	L	H	H	H	L	H	50.00	2.27
4	H	L	L	H	H	H	L	55.26	8.62
5	L	H	L	L	H	H	H	58.97	0.24
6	H	L	H	L	L	H	H	53.55	1.00
7	H	H	L	H	L	L	H	54.05	9.69
8	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	52.45	8.49

Table 5: Statistical analysis of effects of medium constituents on biosurfactant production as per Plackett burman design.

	A KNO ₃	B Oil	C K ₂ HPO ₄	D KH ₂ PO ₄	E MgSO ₄ .5H ₂ O	F FeSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	G NaCl
ΣH	4.18	4.25	3.03	4.19	3.12	3.63	3.96
ΣL	3.04	2.97	4.19	3.03	4.1	3.59	3.26
D	1.14	1.28	-1.16	1.16	-0.98	0.04	0.7
MS	1.2996	1.6384	1.3456	1.3456	0.9604	0.0016	0.49
MSE	0.0601						
F Test	2.7019	3.4062	2.7975	2.7975	1.9967	0.0033	1.0187
P value	0.0117	0.0029	0.0131	0.0141	0.0635	1.0	0.4329




Figure 2: Pareto-Plot for Plackett-Burman parameter estimates for seven medium components.

It elucidates the order of significance of variables that effect the biosurfactant production. The significant factors were found to be KH₂PO₄, oil source and KNO₃. These factors can be later used for media optimization using response surface methodology in future studies.

Extraction and characterization of biosurfactant

The biosurfactant produced by the endophytic bacterium was extracted (white precipitate), dried and used for further studies. The different types of biosurfactants are glycolipids, lipopeptides and phospholipids and are identified using the standard tests namely Phenol-H₂SO₄ test, Biuret test, and phosphate test which are performed with the cell-free supernatant of the endophyte *Bacillus cereus*.

Positive results were obtained for phenol-H₂SO₄ test and CTAB test indicating the presence of sugars and glycolipids. Further characterization of the biosurfactant was carried out by FTIR and GC MS analysis.

FTIR Analysis

The possible functional groups present in the sample were identified by FTIR analysis and results are provided in Figure 3.

The IR spectra showed broad peaks were obtained at 3394 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the O–H stretching vibrations. The peak at 2854 cm⁻¹ that was obtained in both the spectra corresponds to C–H stretching vibrations of aliphatic groups. Other small peak noticed at 2288 cm⁻¹ implies a –C≡C– stretch. Two peaks in the range 1746 to 1641 cm⁻¹ correspond to the C=O stretching of ester groups. The peaks obtained in the range 1200 - 1460 cm⁻¹ indicate the presence of C–O–C, C–C, and C–H groups usually present in the carbohydrates. Weak bands at 3010 and 1746 cm⁻¹ indicate the presence of Rhamnolipid. (Zhao et al 2013). The peaks usually found in between 1300 to 1150 cm⁻¹ indicate the presence of CH wag of alkyl halides. And the smaller peaks obtained from 725 to 524 cm⁻¹ corresponds to alkyl groups. The result obtained in the present investigation suggests the presence of lipids in the biosurfactant. Another study where in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* M14808 was used for biosurfactant synthesis revealed similar groups in FTIR spectra. Also, *Streptomyces* sp. MAB36 displayed the ability to produce a glycolipid biosurfactant that was identified by the peaks obtained in the FTIR spectra (Manivasagan et al 2014). Further a report by Park et al (1998) also specified similar peaks that confirmed the presence of glycolipids in the biosurfactant produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* YPJ-80 using chromatographic and spectroscopic techniques. The peaks obtained in the present investigation are in agreement with the previous studies and points out that the possible chemical structures may be identical to glycolipids and rhamnolipids which are made up of rhamnose rings and long hydrocarbon chains (Pornsunthorntawe et al 2008).




Figure 3: FTIR spectrum of the biosurfactant.




Figure 4: GC MS analysis of the biosurfactant.

GC-MS analysis

The GC-MS analysis of the ethyl acetate extract of the biosurfactant indicated the presence of 9-Hexadecenoic acid, methyl ester (Z) and 1-Eicosanol with the retention time 14.95 and 15.85 respectively. This result goes well in accordance with the findings of Sharma et al (2015) who reported the presence of long chain fatty acids, mainly C-16 long fatty acids in the biosurfactant produced by *Enterococcus faecium*. Many studies revealed that Hexadecanoic acid was a main fatty acid in glycolipids that were studied earlier. A study by Saravanakumari and Mani (2010) reported the presence of octadecanoic acid with a sugar moiety in the biosurfactant produced from *L. lactis*. Another report demonstrated that Rhamnolipids are extensively isolated glycolipids that were composed of β -hydroxydecanoic acid molecules as branched fatty acids (Desai and Banat 1997). Some reports also suggested the presence of Palmitic acid and stearic acid in cell bound biosurfactant produced by *L. pentosus* (Vecino et al 2014).

The GC MS spectra (Fig 4) gave a peak at 5.5 min corresponding to 4 oxopentanoic acid, a breakdown

product of hexose. A peak at 9.82 min indicates the presence of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural, which is the dehydration product of fructose. The presence of glucose can be identified from the peak at 13.53 min.

HPLC analysis (Fig 5) further confirmed the presence of glucose and fructose with the RT value of 20.87 min and 28.12 min respectively. HPLC also indicated the presence of rhamnose at 33.45 min. The presence of sugars along with fatty acids was earlier reported by Saravanakumari and Mani (2010) wherein they isolated a biosurfactant which was a xylolipid. Rhamnose was also reported to be a component of biosurfactant as demonstrated by Lan (2015). The presence of fatty acids and sugars identified by GC MS and HPLC clearly indicate the glycolipid nature of the biosurfactant.




Figure 5: HPLC chromatogram of Biosurfactant

Cytotoxicity Studies

The discovery and adaptability of new molecules in the medical field requires their irritant potential evaluation which at present is carried out using various cell lines. Moreover, the commercial applications of biosurfactants in the medical field have increased during the past decade. Their antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activities make them relevant molecules for applications in combating many diseases and as therapeutic agents. In view of the potential offered by the biosurfactant in medical field, a study was carried out to assess the compatibility of biosurfactant with cells. The toxicity of the biosurfactant was checked against normal Fibroblast cells 3T3 and Hep2 cancer cells (Figure 6).

The toxicity of the biosurfactant was checked on normal cells (Fibroblast cells 3T3), for 4 hr, 24 hr and 48 hr and the results are provided in Fig. 6a. As concentration increased the toxicity slowly increased to around 50%. Prolonged exposure with even with higher concentrations also showed 50% toxicity. The results clearly indicate that the biosurfactant produced was compatible as 50% toxicity was obtained only after exposing for 24 hr, where the IC₅₀ value is found to be 0.27 mg/ml while there was not even 50% toxicity after 4 hrs of exposure at lower concentrations. It was noticed that even after 48 hr of exposure, significant cytotoxicity was not noticed as the IC₅₀ value was 0.25mg/ml thus making it compatible with cells and thereby proposing its use in medical field. The results obtained in the present

investigation goes in accordance with the results of Jadhav et al (2013) which demonstrated the non-toxic nature of biosurfactant produced by a bacterium *Oceanobacillus* sp. BRI 10 even at high concentrations. Another report by Diaz et al (2013) clearly suggested lower levels of cytotoxicity of biosurfactant against fibroblast cells than SDS, a chemical surfactant.




Figure 6: Cytotoxicity of the biosurfactant against: a) Fibroblast 3T3 cells, b) Hep2 cells.

Simultaneously, anticancer activity of the biosurfactant was also evaluated against Hep2 cell lines using varying concentrations at different time intervals and the results are provided in Fig 6b. From the results obtained, it was observed that there was time and dose dependent cytotoxicity against Hep2 cell lines. After 4 hr, it was noted that a concentration of 0.465 mg/ml was required to bring about 50% toxicity. 0.10 mg/ml was needed to induce cytotoxicity when exposed for 24 hr. But only 0.03 mg/ml of the biosurfactant was found to induce 50% toxicity when exposed for 48 hr. These results clearly indicate the potential of biosurfactant as an anticancer agent as 75% cytotoxicity was brought about with the mentioned concentrations. The IC₅₀ values were calculated for 4 hr (0.465mg/ml), 24 hr (0.1mg/ml) and 48

hr (0.03mg/ml). This study therefore demonstrates the potential of biosurfactant as a probable anticancer agent as this was able to bring about appreciable level of apoptosis in Hep2 cells.

Certain reports state that these compounds disrupt cell membrane that leads to cell lysis by increasing the membrane permeability that eventually led to the leakage of metabolites. The modification in the membrane structure alters its function significantly and disturbs the entire biological process (Heerklotz and Seelig 2006; Lee et al 2012). Of late evidences are available on the ability of biosurfactant to induce apoptosis. A study by Zhao et al (2000) reported that glycolipids played a crucial role in arresting the growth of tumor cells. This was identified by the accumulation of B 16 cells in sub-G₀/G₁ phase which is an indication of apoptosis which was observed by the condensation of chromatin and DNA fragmentation in mouse melanoma B 16 cells. Another glycolipid produced from *Sphingobacterium detergens* was found to be effective against Caco2 human colorectal cancer cells (Diaz et al 2013). Likewise, a surfactin made of lipopeptide was shown to induce apoptosis in MCF 7 breast cancer cells (Cao et al 2010). The results obtained in the present investigation advocate the capability of biosurfactant in bringing about apoptosis and hence can be considered as a promising candidate in cancer management.

Anti-microbial Activity

The anti-microbial activity was carried out using six different bacterial strains as test organisms. They were *Bacillus* sp, *Pseudomonas* sp, *Staphylococcus* sp, *Enterobacter* sp, *Serratia* sp and *E. coli*. The isolated biosurfactant did not show noteworthy zones of inhibition but noticeable zones were developed against *Staphylococcus* sp and *Serratia* sp. Though there are reports on the efficacy of biosurfactant as antimicrobial agents (Gudina et al 2010; Ghribi et al 2011), our results reveal that the biosurfactant is not very effective against the pathogenic bacteria (data not shown).

CONCLUSION

The potential of endophytes in the production of biosurfactant has been explored in the present investigation. Endophytic bacteria were screened for the production of biosurfactant and it was observed that the endophyte *Bacillus cereus* showed positive results for all the screening tests employed. Media was optimized for the production of biosurfactant using various carbon and nitrogen sources and it was found that gingley oil and KNO₃ were the best sources respectively. Plackett Burman design was employed to optimize the media using 7 variables and 8 trials and the first trial with the composition KNO₃ (1g/l), Gingley oil (2ml), K₂HPO₄ (2.5g/l), KH₂PO₄ (0.75g/l), MgSO₄.5H₂O (0.5g/l), FeSO₄.7H₂O (0.005g/l) and NaCl (0.025g/l) gave the maximum emulsification index (60%) with maximum biosurfactant production (11.32 g). The biosurfactant was

found to be a glycolipid based on the tests and instrumental analysis carried out. The biosurfactant produced was studied for its toxicity against normal Fibroblast 3T3 cell lines and Hep2 cell lines. The biosurfactant didn't show much of toxicity against the normal cell lines but showed significant toxicity against Hep2 cell lines making them potential candidate for various medical purposes. This study puts endophytes in the list of biosurfactant producers and the increased production using Plackett burman model further promotes a large-scale production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology for the support offered to carry out this work.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi H, Hamed MM, Lotfabad TB, Zahiri HS, Sharafi H, Masoomi F, Moosavi-Movahedi AA, Ortiz A, Amanlou M, Noghabi KA (2012)** Biosurfactant producing bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MA01 isolated from spoiled apples: physicochemical and structural characteristics of isolated biosurfactant. *J Biosci Bioeng* **113**, 211-219.
- Abdel-Mawgoud AM, Aboulwafa MM, Hassouna NA (2008)** Characterization of surfactin produced by *Bacillus subtilis* isolate BS5. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **150**, 289 -303.
- Abouseoud M, Maachi R, Amrane A (2007)** Biosurfactant Production from olive oil by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Communicating Current Research and Educational Topics and Trends in Applied Microbiology A. Méndez-Vilas (Ed.) **340 - 347** ©FORMATEX
- Abu-Ruwaida AS, Banat M, Haditirto, Salem S, Kadri A (1991)** Isolation of biosurfactant producing bacteria product characterization and evaluation. *Acta Biotech* **11(4)**, 315-24.
- Ahmed M Elazzazy, Abdelmoneima TS, Almaghribia OA (2015)** Isolation and characterization of biosurfactant production under extreme environmental conditions by alkali-halophilic bacteria from Saudi Arabia. *Saudi J Biol Sci* **22(4)**, 466-475
- Aparna A, Srinikethan G, Smitha Hegde (2012)** Isolation, Screening and Production of Biosurfactant by *Bacillus clausii* 5B. *Res in Biotechnol* **3(2)**, 49-56.
- Banat IM, Franzetti A, Gandolfi I, Bestetti G, Martinotti MG, Fracchia L, Smyth TJ & Marchant R (2010)** Microbial biosurfactants production, applications and future potential. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* **87**, 427-44.
- Burgos-Diaz C, Pons R, Teruel JA, Aranda FJ, Ortiz A, Manresa A, and Marques AM (2013)** The production and physicochemical properties of a biosurfactant mixture obtained from *Sphingobacterium detergens*. *J Coll Interface Sci* **394**, 368–379.
- Cao XH, Wang AH, Wang CL, Mao DZ, Lu MF, Cui YQ, Jiao RZ (2010)** Surfactin induces apoptosis in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells through a ROS/JNK-mediated mitochondrial/caspase pathway. *Chem Biol Interact* **4**, 357-362.
- Chandankere R, Jun Y, Choi MMF, Masakorala K, Chan Y (2013)** An efficient biosurfactant-producing and crude-oil emulsifying bacterium *Bacillus methylotrophicus* USTBa isolated from petroleum reservoir. *Biochem Eng J* **74**, 46-53.
- Cooper D, Goldenberg B (1987)** Surface-active agents from 2 *Bacillus* species. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **53(2)**, 224-229.
- Cooper DG, Zajic JE (1980)** Surface-active compounds from microorganisms. *Adv Appl Microbiol* **26**, 229–253
- Cutchins EC, Doetsch RN, Pelczar MJ (1952)** The influence of medium composition on the production of bacterial lipase. *J. Bacteriol.* **63**, 269-272.
- Das P, Mukherjee S, Sivapathasekaran C, Sen R (2010)** Microbial surfactants of marine origin, potentials and prospects. In: *Biosurfactants*. Springer pp. 88- 101.
- Deepansh Sharma, Baljeet Singh Saharan, Nikhil Chauhan, Suresh Procha and Sohan Lal (2015)** Isolation and functional characterization of novel biosurfactant produced by *Enterococcus faecium*. *SpringerPlus* **4(4)**, 1-14.
- Desai J, Banat I (1997)** Microbial production of surfactants and their commercial potential. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **61**, 47-64.
- Flasz A, Rocha CA, Mosquera B, Sajo C (1998)** A comparative study of the toxicity of a synthetic surfactant and one produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 55925. *Medical Science Research* **26**, 181-185.
- Ghribi D, Ellouze-Chaabouni S (2011)** Enhancement of *Bacillus subtilis* lipopeptide biosurfactants production through optimization of medium composition and adequate control of aeration. *Biotechnol Res Int* **1-6**.
- Gudina EJ, Rocha V, Teixeira JA, Rodrigues LR. (2010)** Antimicrobial and anti-adhesive properties of a biosurfactant isolated from *Lactobacillus paracasei* ssp. *paracasei* A20. *Lett Appl Microbiol.* **4**, 419-424.
- Guihong Lan, Qiang Fan, Yongqiang Liu, Chao Chen, Guixiang Li, Yu Liu, Xiaobo Yin (2015)** Rhamnolipid production from waste cooking oil using *Pseudomonas* SWP-4 *Biochemical Engineering Journal* **101**, 44-54.
- Heerklotz H, Seelig J. (2006)** Leakage and lysis of lipid membranes induced by the lipopeptide surfactin. *Eur Biophys J.* **4**, 305 - 314.
- Hossein Ghojavand, Farzaneh Vahabzadeh, Emad Roayaei, Alireza Khodabandeh Shahraki (2008)** Production and properties of a biosurfactant obtained from a member of the *Bacillus subtilis* group (PTCC 1696) *J Coll Interface Sci* **324**, 172-176.
- Jain DK, Collins-Thompson DL, Lee H, Trevors JT (1991)** A dropcollapsing test for screening surfactant-producing microorganisms. *J Microbiol Methods* **13**, 271-279.

- Jianfeng Zhao, Yanjie Wu, Akateh Tazifua Alfred, Xing Xin and Shulin Yang (2013)** Chemical structures and biological activities of rhamnolipid biosurfactants produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* M14808 J Chem Pharma Res **5(12)**, 177-182.
- Jorge FB, Pereira, Eduardo J Gudiña, Rita Costa, Rui Vitorino, José A Teixeira, João A. Coutinho Lígia R Rodrigues (2013)** Optimization and characterization of biosurfactant production by *Bacillus subtilis* isolates towards microbial enhanced oil recovery applications. Fuel **111**, 259–268.
- Klein J and Wagner F (1987)** Different Strategies to Optimize the Production Phase of Immobilized Cells Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences **501**, 306.
- Lee JH, Nam SH, Seo WT, Yun HD, Hong SY, Kim MK, Cho KM (2012)** The production of surfactin during the fermentation of cheonggukjang by potential probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* CSY191 and the resultant growth suppression of MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. Food Chem **4**, 1347-1354.
- Makkar RS and Cameotra SS (1998)** Production of biosurfactant at mesophilic and thermophilic conditions by a strain of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol **20**, 48-52.
- Mohan PK, Nakhla G, Yanful EK (2006)** Biokinetics of biodegradability of surfactants under aerobic, anoxic and anaerobic conditions. Water Research **40**, 533-540.
- Morikawa M, Hirata Y, Imanaka TA (2000)** A study on the structure-function relationship of lipopeptide biosurfactants. Biochim Biophys Acta **1488**, 211- 218.
- Mulligan CN, Chow TYK, Gibbs BF (1989)** Enhanced biosurfactant production by a mutant *Bacillus subtilis* strain. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol **31**, 486-489.
- Muthusamy K, Gopalakrishnan S, Ravi TK, Sivachidambaram P (2008)** Biosurfactants: Properties, commercial production and application. Curr Sci **94(6)**, 736-747.
- Panchanathan Manivasagan, Palaniappan Sivasankar, Jayachandran Venkatesan, Kannan Sivakumar, Se-Kwon Kim (2014)** Optimization, production and characterization of glycolipid biosurfactant from the marine actinobacterium, *Streptomyces* sp. MAB36. Bioprocess Biosyst Eng **37**,783-797.
- Persson A, Österberg E, Dostalek M (1988)** Biosurfactant production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* 378: growth and product characteristics. App Microbiol Biotech **29**, 1-4.
- Pornsunthorntawee O, Wongpanit P, Chavadej S, Abe M, Rujiravanit R (2008)** Structural and physicochemical characterization of crude biosurfactant produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* SP4 isolated from petroleum-contaminated soil Bioresource Technology **99(6)**, 1589-1595.
- Rashmi Rekha Saikia, Suresh Deka, Manab Deka and Ibrahim M (2012)** Banat Isolation of biosurfactant-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* RS29 from oil-contaminated soil and evaluation of different nitrogen sources in biosurfactant production. Ann Microbiol **62**, 753-763.
- Rosenberg E, Zuckerberg A, Rubinovitz C, Gutnick DL (1979)** Emulsifier of *Arthrobacter* RAG-I: isolation and emulsifying properties. Appl Environ Microbiol **37**, 402-408.
- Saravanakumari P, Mani K (2010)** Structural characterization of a novel xylolipid biosurfactant from *Lactococcus lactis* and analysis of antibacterial activity against multi-drug resistant pathogens. Bioresource Technol **101(22)**, 8851-8854
- Silva R de CFS, Almeida DG, Rufino RD, Luna JM, Santos VA, Sarubbo LA (2014)** Applications of Biosurfactants in the Petroleum Industry and the Remediation of Oil Spills. Int J Mol Sci **15(7)**, 12523-12542.
- Singh A, Van Hamme JD, Ward OP (2007)** Surfactants in microbiology and biotechnology: Part 2. Application aspects. Biotechnol Adv **25**, 99-121.
- Singh P and Cameotra SS (2004)** Potential applications of microbial surfactants in biomedical sciences. Trends Biotechnol. **22**,142–146.
- Soilsltalo Waldimiro Lima de Franc, Andrea Parente Limaa, João Alexandre Monteiro Lemos, Celina Gentil Farias Lemos, Vania Maria Maciel Melob, Hosiberto Batista de Santanaa, Luciana Rocha Barros Gonc (2015)** Production of a biosurfactant by *Bacillus subtilis* ICA56 aiming bioremediation of impacted soils. Catalysis Today **255**, 10-15.
- Swapnil Patil, Anuradha Pendse and Aruna K (2014)** Studies on optimization of biosurfactant production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* F23 isolated from oil contaminated soil sample. Int J Curr Biotechnol **2(4)**, 20-30.
- Swetha Sunkar and Valli Nachiyar (2012)** Biogenesis of antibacterial silver nanoparticles using the endophytic bacterium *Bacillus cereus* isolated from *Garcinia xanthochymus* Asian Pacific J Tropical Biomed **2(12)**, 930-934.
- Swetha Sunkar and Valli Nahciyar (2012)** Endophytes: A Prospective Source of Enzyme Production. J Pure App Microbiol **6(2)**, 859-867.
- Swetha Sunkar, Sibitha V, Valli Nachiyar C, Prakash P and Renugadevi K. (2017)** Bioprospecting endophytic fungus *Colletotrichum* sp. isolated from *Artocarpus heterophyllus* for anticancer activity Research Journal of Biotechnology **12(2)**, 46-56.
- Tabatabaee A, Mazaheri Assadi M, Noohi AA, Sajadian VA (2005)** Isolation of Biosurfactant Producing Bacteria from Oil Reservoirs Iranian J Env Health Sci Eng **2**, 6-12.
- Vecino X, Barbosa-Pereira L, Devesa-Rey R, Cruz JM, Moldes AB (2014)** Optimization of extraction conditions and fatty acid characterization of *L. Pentosus* cell bound Biosurfactant/Bioemulsifier. J Sci Food Agric **1313–1320**.
- Vidhyalakshmi R, Valli Nachiyar C, Narendra Kumar G, Sunkar Swetha (2016)** *Bacillus circulans* exopolysaccharide: Production, characterization and

bioactivities. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules **87**, 405-414.

Vipra Vijay Jadhav, Amit Yadav, Yogesh S. Shouche, Shama Aphale, Alpana Moghe, Sruthy Pillaia, Aditi Aroraa, Rama Kaustubh Bhadekara (2013) Studies on biosurfactant from *Oceanobacillus* sp. BRI 10 isolated from Antarctic sea water Desalination **318**, 64-71.

Zhao X, Geltinger, C, Kishikawa S (2000) Treatment of mouse melanoma cells with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate counteracts mannosylerythritol lipid-induced growth arrest and apoptosis. Cytotechnology **33**, 123-130.

CORRECTED PROOF