

Prevalence of multidrug efflux pump requiring ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin and pefloxacin as substrates, among clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Omoregie, R.,^{1,2} Airueghionmon, D.J.U.,¹ Okonkwo, J.O.,² Airueghionmon, U.– E.,¹ Ibeh, I.N.³ and Ogefere, H.O

¹School of Medical Laboratory Sciences, University of Benin Teaching Hospital, P.M.B. 1111, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria.

²Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

³Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

E-mail: richyomos@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Forty two consecutive clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were screened for the presence of reserpine inhibited multidrug (MDR) efflux pump, utilizing ciprofloxacin ofloxacin and/or pefloxacin as substrates, by determining the minimum inhibitory concentration in the presence and absence of 100 mg/L reserpine. The result showed that 50% of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates possessed reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pump. MDR efflux pump requiring ofloxacin (40.48%) were significantly ($p < 0.01$) more among the isolates when compared with those requiring ciprofloxacin (16.67%) or pefloxacin (11.90%). Only one isolate possessed reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pumps that utilize all three fluoroquinolones. Research into suitable combination of antibacterials and appropriate pump mactivators or antibacterials that are less likely to be substrate for MDR pumps is advocated.

Keywords: Efflux pump, Reserpine, Fluoroquinolones, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

INTRODUCTION

Efflux pumps are transport proteins involved in the extrusion of toxic substrates (including virtually all classes of clinically relevant antibiotics) from within cells into the external environment (Webber and Piddock, 2003). They are found in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Bambeke *et al.*, 2003). Gram-negative bacteria are generally much more resistant than Gram-positive bacteria to a variety of antimicrobial agents (Li *et al.*, 2000). In the case of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, this has traditionally been attributed to the presence of a highly impermeable outer membrane (OM) (Nikaido, 1989). However, recent reports attribute synergy between low OM permeability and broadly specific drug efflux pumps to be responsible for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* intrinsic resistance (Li *et al.*, 2000; Zhang *et al.*, 2001; Chuanchuen *et al.*, 2002). Efflux pump can result in both intrinsic and acquired multidrug (MDR) resistance (Nikaido, 1998; Poole, 2000). Several MDR efflux systems have been described in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, including the MexAB-OprM, MexCD-OprJ, MexEF-OprN, MexXY-OprM and MexJK-OprM (Li *et al.*, 2000; Chuanchuen *et al.*, 2002).

The susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains to antimicrobial agents can be significantly enhanced by pump inactivation (Li *et al.*, 1995) and reserpine – a plant alkaloid, has been used to inactivate MDR efflux pump (Neyfakh *et al.*, 1993; Schmetz *et al.*, 1998; Ribera *et al.*, 2002). The importance of detecting MDR efflux pump is to provide information for research into new drugs, coupling existing drugs to pump inactivators or modify existing drugs to make them less

likely to be substrate for MDR efflux pump. A lot of report on MDR efflux pumps form various parts of the world exist, but there are none (to our knowledge) from Nigeria. Hence this study focuses on the prevalence reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pump of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to three most commonly prescribed fluoroquinolone in Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Isolates

Forty two consecutive clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* obtained from the Medical Microbiology Department, University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria, were used for this study. An isolate was identified as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* if it was a Gram-negative bacillus, oxidase positive, non-lactose fermenting motile, grows on 0.03% centrimide agar, production of pyocyanin on nutrient agar after 24 h incubation at 37 °C and growth at 42 °C.

Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of ciprofloxacin (V.S. International PVT Ltd, India) ofloxacin (Nigeria German Chemicals, Nigeria) and pefloxacin (Rhone – Poulenc, France) against the clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were determined using the two – fold serial broth (Muelier –Hinton Broth – Control S, Spain) dilution method with an inoculum of 1×10^6 cells/mL. All experiments were repeated three times with

*Corresponding author

and without 100mg/L reserpine (BDH Chemicals Ltd, England). The MIC was taken as the lowest concentration inhibiting visible growth after 18 h incubations at 37 °C.

Reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pump was inferred if the MIC with reserpine was four-fold or lower than the MIC without reserpine.

Chi (X^2) square test was used, manually, to determine significant difference.

Table 1: The effect of reserpine on the MIC some fluorquinolones

Strain number	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> MIC (mg/L)					
	CIP	CIP ^a	OFX	OFX ^a	PER	PEF ^a
1	320	80	640	160	640	320
2	0.0391	0.0391	20	0.0195	0.0781	0.0781
3	40	20	160	80	640	640
4	1.25	1.25	2.5	2.5	0.625	0.3125
5	40	40	160	40	80	40
6	40	40	320	80	640	320
7	640	320	640	640	640	320
8	40	20	320	80	320	320
9	20	10	160	80	320	160
10	10	0.0781	10	10	40	40
11	640	320	80	10	640	20
12	5	2.5	640	10	20	20
13	320	320	640	640	640	640
14	0.1563	0.1563	0.625	0.625	0.625	0.625
15	320	160	640	640	640	640
16	10	0.0391	0.625	0.625	0.625	0.625
17	10	0.0195	10	10	40	0.0195
18	5	5	80	10	640	10
19	0.0195	0.0195	320	10	2.5	2.5
20	0.0391	0.0391	1.25	0.625	2.5	2.5
21	40	40	320	0.0391	640	640
22	40	40	320	320	640	640
23	320	160	640	320	640	640
24	40	40	640	320	320	320
25	80	40	80	80	320	320
26	640	640	640	640	640	640
27	80	80	160	80	320	320
28	80	40	320	160	320	320
29	80	20	320	80	320	320
30	640	320	320	80	640	320
31	320	320	640	80	640	640
32	320	10	320	160	160	80
33	40	0.3125	80	20	320	40
34	640	640	320	320	320	320
35	2.5	2.5	1.25	1.25	0.3125	0.3125
36	20	10	80	80	80	40
37	0.1563	0.1563	10	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563
38	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563
39	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563
40	0.625	0.3125	0.625	0.625	0.625	0.625
41	20	10	20	0.0391	160	40
42	320	160	80	20	320	320

Numbers in bold are MICs affected by reserpine by four – fold or more. CIP, Ciprofloxacin, OFX, Ofloxacin; PEF, Pefloxacin

^aMIC of Fluoroquinolone in the presence of reserpine.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The presence of reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pump was detected in 21(50%) of the 42 clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Table 1). Reserpine has been shown not to inhibit all types of efflux pumps (Ribera *et al.*, 2002). Also, it has been reported that strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* vary in the extent to which reserpine is able to block Nor A or other pumps (Schmitz *et al.*, 1998) and this is as a result of reserpine resistance, as has been observed in *Bacillus subtilis* (Ahmed *et al.*, 1993). These may partly explain the absence of reserpine MDR inhibited efflux pumps in some strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The other possible reasons include absence of reserpine inhibited pumps, and for the resistant strains, resistance may be due to other mechanisms such as decrease outer membrane (OM) permeability, mutation resulting in altered target site with less affinity for the drugs.

Only one isolate (2.38%) utilize all three fluoroquinolones as substrate for efflux pump while 6(14.29%) utilize any two of the three fluoroquinolones (Table 1). Of the 6 isolates, 2 utilize ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin; 1 utilizes ciprofloxacin and pefloxacin while 3 utilize ofloxacin and pefloxacin as substrates. This further confirms multiple efflux pumps in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and indeed this organism possess several (Li *et al.*, 2000).

The prevalence of reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pump requiring ofloxacin as substrate (40.48%) was significantly ($P < 0.01$) higher than that requiring either ciprofloxacin (16.67%) or pefloxacin (11.90%) as substrate (Table 2). This indicates that resistance to ofloxacin, in comparison with the other two fluoroquinolones used, is most likely due to reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pump.

The MIC of ciprofloxacin in reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pump *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains decrease by a range of 4 – 512 fold with 4 (57.14%) of the 7 strains exhibiting efflux pump becoming susceptible [using MIC breakpoint of ≤ 1 mg/L according to Andrews (2004)]; ofloxacin MIC decreased by a range of 4 – 8192 fold with 4 (23.53%) of the 17 strains exhibiting efflux pump becoming susceptible [using MIC breakpoint of ≤ 2 mg/L according to Andrews (2004)] and for pefloxacin it decrease by a range of 4 – 2048 fold with 1 (20%) of the 5 strains becoming susceptible [no available breakpoint was found, but that for ofloxacin was used]. The result suggests that efflux pump activity in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains, functions at various levels. This has also been suggested in *Staphylococcus aureus* (Kaatz and Seo, 1995; Schmitz *et al.*, 1998).

Combination of any of the studied fluoroquinolones with an MDR efflux pump inhibitor, such as reserpine, may be effective in the treatment of infections caused by resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains exhibiting MDR efflux pump. However, reserpine also affects eucaryotes and this makes it unsuitable (Bambeke, *et al.*, 2003). Thus, research should focus on new and safer

inhibitors. Also, drugs that are less likely to be substrates for MDR efflux pumps should be developed.

Conclusively, 50% of the clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* used in this study, possess reserpine inhibited MDR efflux pump and ofloxacin was the drug most likely to be utilized as substrates.

Table 2: Prevalence of reserpine inhibited efflux pump

Fluoroquinolones	Number tested	Number with inhibited efflux pump (%)
Ciprofloxacin	42	7 (16.67)
Ofloxacin	42	17 (40.48)
Pefloxacin	42	5 (11.90)

$$X^2 = 9.4267, p < 0.01$$

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M., Borsch, C.M., Neyfakh, A.A. and Schuldiner, S. (1993). Mutants of the *Bacillus subtilis* multidrug transporter Bmr with altered sensitivity to the antihypertensive alkaloid reserpine. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **268**: 11086 – 11089.
- Andrews, J.M. (2004). BSAC standardized disc susceptibility testing method (version 3). *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **53**: 713 – 728.
- Bambeke, V.F., Glupczynski, Y., Plesiat, Pechere, J.C. and Tulkens, P.M. (2003). Antibiotic efflux pumps in prokaryotic cells: occurrence, impact on resistance and strategies for the future of antimicrobial therapy. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **51**: 1055 – 1065.
- Chuanchuen, R., Narasaki, C.T. and Schweizer, H.P. (2002). The MexJk efflux pump of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* requires OprM for antibiotic efflux but not for triclosan. *Journal of Bacteriology* **184** (18): 5036 – 5044.
- Kaatz, G.W. and Seo, S.M. (1995). Inducible nor A-mediated multidrug resistance in *staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrobial agents and Chemotherapy* **39**: 2650 – 2655.
- Li X. – Z., Nikaido, H. and Poole, K. (1995). Role of mexA–MexB–pprM in antibiotic efflux in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **39**: 1984 – 1953.
- Li, X – Z., Zhang, L. and Poole, K (2000). Interplay between the mexA–mexB–OprM multidrug efflux antibiotic resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **45**: 433 – 436.
- Neyfakh, A.A., Borsch, C.M. and Kaatz, G.W (1993). Fluoroquinolone resistance protein Nor A of *Staphylococcus aureus* is a multidrug efflux transporter. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **37**: 128 – 129.

- Nikaido, H. (1989).** Outer membrane barrier as a mechanism of antimicrobial resistance. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **33**: 1831 – 1836.
- Nikaido, H. (1998).** The role of outer membrane and efflux pumps in the resistance of Gram-negative bacteria. Can we improve drug access? *Drug Resistance update* **1**: 93 – 98.
- Poole, K. (2002).** Efflux-mediated resistance to fluoroquinolones in Gram-negative bacteria. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **44**: 2233 – 2241.
- Ribera, A., Ruiz, J., Jimenez de Anta, M. T. and Vila, J. (2002).** Effect of efflux pump inhibitor on the MIC of nalidixic acid for *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* clinical isolates. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **49**: 697 – 698.
- Schmitz, F.-J., Fluit, A.C., Luckefahr, M., Engler, B., Hofmann, B., Verhoef, J., Heinz, H.-P., Hadding, U. and Jones, M.E. (1998).** The effect of reserpine, an inhibitor of multidrug efflux pumps, on the *in-vitro* activities of ciprofloxacin, sparfloxacin, and moxifloxacin against clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **42**: 807 – 810.
- Webber, M.A. and Piddock, L.J.V. (2003).** The importance of efflux pumps in bacterial antibiotic resistance. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **51**: 9 – 11.
- Zhang, L., Li, X.-Z. and Poole, K (2001).** Fluoroquinolone susceptibilities of efflux – mediated multidrug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Burkholderia cepacia*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **48**: 549 – 552.